



CITY OF HOUSTON

Sylvester Turner

Mayor

Mario C. Diaz
Director of Aviation



HOUSTON AIRPORT SYSTEM

George Bush Intercontinental ~ William P. Hobby ~ Ellington Airport

April 13, 2018

SUBJECT: Letter of Clarification No. 1

REFERENCE: RFQ No. H37-TRARBSASB-2018-002 / Project No.: 646, Professional Engineering (PE) Design Services for the Rehabilitation of Taxiways RA, RB, SA, and SB at George Bush Intercontinental Airport (IAH)

To: All Prospective Respondents:

This Letter of Clarification is issued for the following reason for the above mentioned RFQ:

1. To answer questions posed by prospective respondents.

The following are questions posed by prospective respondents and the COH response:

Question No. 1: Can you verify which geotechnical firms are precluded from participating in this RFQ?

There was some discussion in the pre-solicitation meeting that firms with on-call geotechnical contracts with HAS were precluded. However, our firm was mentioned as one of these and we do not have an on-call geotechnical contract with HAS but instead an on-call construction materials testing contract. We are trying to ensure that we do not disrupt any team we are asked to join.

Response No. 1: City of Houston specification 1455 (City's Acceptance Testing) 1.02P1 states "Contractor Shall Not employ for Contractor's quality assurance testing the same ITL (Independent Testing Lab) as employed by the City..." HAS determined that this restriction does not impact the geotechnical firms employed by the Engineer of Record. No restrictions are applicable for the Design portion of the Work.

Question No. 2: What geotechnical firms if any are conflicted out of teaming on this procurement? We are receiving calls from prospective teaming partners and we want to have the opportunity to work on this project. We have specialized Heavy Falling Weight (HWD) testing equipment which can be specifically used to evaluate the insitu condition of existing pavements for rehabilitation design?

Response No. 2: Refer to the response provided in Question #1.

Question No. 3: Who is the "Respondent" referenced pg. 6 of 52, Item 5.7.1.4 on page 6 of 52, and Item 5.8.1 on pg. 7 of 52?


Response No. 3: The Respondent is the Principal (Prime) Firm, Company, Joint Venture, or Legal Business Entity submitting the Statement of Qualifications to the Houston Airport System.

Question No. 4: Is the Project Team (prime and subconsultant team) considered to be the Respondent or is it the Principal (prime) firm?

Response No. 4: The Respondent is the Principal (Prime) Firm.

When issued, Letter(s) of Clarification (LOC) shall automatically become part of the solicitation documents and shall supersede any previous specification(s) and/or provision(s) in conflict with the Letter(s) of Clarification. LOC(s) will be incorporated into the Agreement as applicable. It is the responsibility of the respondent(s) to ensure that it has obtained all such letter(s). By submitting a Submittal on this project, Respondent(s) shall be deemed to have received all LOC(s) and to have incorporated them into their submittal.

If further clarification is needed regarding this solicitation, please contact Andre' Morrow, Sr. Procurement Specialist, via email at Andre.Morrow@houstontx.gov.

DE 
Justina J. Mann, CPPO
Procurement Officer
Houston Airport System

cc: File, RFQ Solicitation No. H37-TRARBSASB-2018-002